

*Original Article*

# The Impact of Catalog Size on the Effectiveness of Product Catalog Ads in Digital Advertising

**Varun Chivukula***Independent Researcher, USA.*

**Abstract:** Product catalog ads (PCAs) are pivotal in digital advertising, particularly for e-commerce. These dynamic ads showcase a subset of products from a catalog, leveraging user preferences for personalization. Catalog size significantly affects ad performance metrics such as click-through rates (CTR), conversion rates, and return on ad spends (ROAS). This paper investigates the influence of catalog size on PCA effectiveness, using mathematical modeling and empirical analysis to identify the trade-offs between providing a diverse selection and minimizing cognitive overload. The findings offer actionable recommendations for optimizing catalog size in advertising campaigns.

**Keywords:** Digital Advertising, Product catalog Ads, Catalog Size, Return on Ad Spend (ROAS).

## I. INTRODUCTION

In digital advertising, product catalog ads (PCAs) dynamically feature products based on user preferences, enabled by machine learning algorithms. Platforms like Facebook, Google, and Amazon rely heavily on PCAs for personalized ad delivery.

Catalog size—the number of products presented in a single ad—plays a critical role in determining user engagement and ad effectiveness. While larger catalogs may attract more initial attention, they risk overwhelming users, leading to decision fatigue. Conversely, smaller catalogs may fail to capture users' diverse preferences. This paper examines the relationship between catalog size and ad performance, identifying an optimal catalog size that balances user engagement and cognitive load.

## II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

We hypothesize a non-linear relationship between catalog size ( $n$ ) and ad performance metrics ( $Y$ ), such as CTR or conversion rate. The relationship is modeled as:

$$Y(n) = a \cdot \log(n) - b \cdot n + c$$

Where:

- $a$  represents the initial engagement boost from increasing catalog size,
- $b$  captures the diminishing returns due to cognitive overload,
- $c$  is a baseline performance constant.

The optimal catalog size ( $n^*$ ) is derived by maximizing  $Y(n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} dY/dn &= a/n - b = 0 \\ n^* &= a/b \end{aligned}$$

This model predicts that ad performance improves with catalog size initially but decreases beyond the optimal point due to user cognitive limitations.

## III. METHODOLOGY

### A. Data Collection

We analyzed PCA campaign data across e-commerce platforms, focusing on:

- Catalog size ( $n$ ),
- Performance metrics: CTR, conversion rate, and ROAS,
- User segmentation: Demographics and browsing behavior.

### B. Experimental Design

A/B tests were conducted with varying catalog sizes ( $n = 3, 6, 9, 12, 15$ ). A control group used a standard catalog size ( $n=6$ ).

### C. Statistical Analysis

A quadratic regression model was employed to capture the hypothesized relationship:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 n + \beta_2 n^2 + \epsilon$$



Where:

- Y is the performance metric (e.g., CTR),
- n is the catalog size,
- $\epsilon$  is the error term.

#### IV. RESULTS

- Click-Through Rate (CTR): CTR increased with catalog size up to  $n=9$ , after which it plateaued and declined slightly.
- Conversion Rates: Conversion rates peaked at  $n=6$  and declined significantly for larger catalogs ( $n>12$ ), suggesting cognitive overload.
- Return on Ad Spend (ROAS): ROAS followed a similar trend, with optimal performance at  $n=6$  to  $n=9$ .
- Regression Analysis: Regression results confirmed the non-linear relationship between catalog size and performance metrics. Coefficients  $\beta_1$  (positive) and  $\beta_2$  (negative) were statistically significant ( $p<0.01$ ).

#### V. DISCUSSION

##### A. Implications for Digital Advertisers

- Optimal Catalog Size: An optimal catalog size of  $n \approx 6$  to 9 maximizes engagement and conversion rates while minimizing cognitive overload.
- User Segmentation: Tailoring catalog size to specific user segments can improve performance. Users with high browsing intent may prefer larger catalogs, while casual users benefit from smaller selections.
- Dynamic Personalization: Machine learning algorithms can dynamically adjust catalog size based on user behavior in real-time, improving overall ad effectiveness.

##### B. Recommendations

- Iterative Testing: Conduct A/B tests to identify the optimal catalog size for specific campaigns.
- Design Optimization: Simplify the layout and navigation of larger catalogs to reduce cognitive load.
- Segmentation: Customize catalog size for user groups based on browsing history and preferences.

#### VI. CONCLUSION

Catalog size significantly impacts the effectiveness of product catalog ads. While larger catalogs initially attract attention, they risk diminishing returns due to cognitive overload. Advertisers can maximize campaign performance by optimizing catalog size, using dynamic personalization, and tailoring ads to user segments. Future research should explore interactions between catalog size and other factors, such as ad creative design and placement.

#### VII. REFERENCES

- [1] Choi, J., Liu, Y., & Shankar, V. (2018). "Personalization in Product Recommendations: The Role of Catalog Size." *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 43, 120-132.
- [2] Iyengar, S. S., & Lepper, M. R. (2000). "When Choice Is Demotivating: Can One Desire Too Much of a Good Thing?" *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 79(6), 995-1006.
- [3] Liu, X., Zhu, H., & Chen, W. (2020). "Dynamic Ad Systems in E-commerce: Insights and Trends." *Marketing Science Review*, 12(3), 200-213.
- [4] Schwartz, B. (2004). *The Paradox of Choice: Why More Is Less*. HarperCollins.
- [5] Sweller, J. (1988). "Cognitive Load during Problem Solving: Effects on Learning." *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257-285.